Gonzales Independent School District

District Improvement Plan

2020-2021



Mission Statement

Gonzales Independent School District is committed to a spirit of excellence in caring service and partnerships that equip students for continuous learning supporting resilience in achieving personal aspiration, compassionate and dynamic citizenship in an ever changing world.

Vision

Excellence for All

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	6
District Processes & Programs	8
Perceptions	11
Priority Problem Statements	12
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	14
Goals	17
Goal 1 : Increased alignment and collaboration between general education staff and special services staff to strengthen Tier 1 instruction.	17
Goal 2 : Establish a mindset of instructional leadership within all stakeholders at every level of leadership in GISD.	18
Goal 3 : Develop and implement a district-wide Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS).	20
Goal 4 : Build efficacy within administrators and campus staff.	21
Goal 5 : Create a positive and inviting atmosphere for all stakeholders in Gonzales ISD.	24
State Compensatory	28
Budget for District Improvement Plan	28
Personnel for District Improvement Plan	30
District Funding Summary	35
Addendums	38

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Gonzales, Texas is located in central Gonzales County East of the San Marcos River and Northeast of the Guadalupe River. GISD covers 532 square miles. The median household income is \$49,829 according to the 2019 census which is below the median state income of \$59,570. Major employers for the county are: Gonzales ISD, BYK, and Tyson Chicken. Additional employers include: GVEC, Kitchen Pride Mushroom Farms, Purina, and Gonzales Memorial Hospital.

Gonzales ISD is the sole district in Gonzales, Texas. It is a one feeder system with five campuses and one student center.

Campuses serve the following grade levels (TAPR 2018-2019):

- Gonzales Primary Academy: PK K (approx 352)
- East Avenue Primary: 1st 2nd (approx 389)
- Gonzales Elementary: 3rd 4th (approx 400)
- North Avenue Intermediate: 5th 6th (approx 431)
- Gonzales Junior High: 7th 8th (approx 466)
- Gonzales High School: 9th 12th (approx 821)

According to the 2018-2019 TAPR general demographics are as follows:

- Student enrollment slightly increased. (2,859 students were enrolled for 2018-19 and was 2,840 for 2017-18).
- 24.9% of student population is White (712). 66.76% of student population is Hispanic (1,907). .01% of student population is American Indian or Alaska Native (3). 7.0% of student population is Black/African American (222). .03% of student population is Asian/Pacific Islander (7). .02% of student population is Two or More Races (7).
- 2104 students are Economically Disadvantaged (73.06%). It is noteworthy, Eco. Dis. numbers have steadily increased in the last five years but slightly declined in 2018-2019 (67.90%-2012-13, 70.60%-20013-14, 70.14%-2014-15, 70.10%-2015-16, 70.22%-2016-17, 74.4%-2017-2018).
 73.6% of our students on the 2018-2019 TAPR are Economically Disadvantaged.
- LEP numbers have steadily increased in the last five years (13.20%-2012-13, 14.19%-20013-14, 14.32%-2014-15,15.04%-2015-16, 16.40%-2016-17, 17.92%-2017-2018) TAPR 2018-2019 reports 18.67% of student population is LEP (534 students).
- SPED numbers have steadily increased overall in the last five years (8.51%-2012-13, 9.32%-20013-14, 9.43%-2014-15, 8.9%-2015-16, 10.27%-2016-17, 11.3%-2017-2018. 11.55% of student population is SPED (329 students).

According to 2018-19 TAPR enrollment numbers:

• 64.6% (1,847) of the student population is At-Risk

According to the 2018-2019 TAPR the following was found:

- Attendance Rate was 95.3%.
- Teachers by ethnicity: (AA: 2.2%; H: 23.2%; W: 74.7%; AI: 0%; A: 0%; PI: 0%) compared to the student percentages by ethnicity: (AA: 7.8%; H: 66.7%; W: 24.9%; AI: 0.1%; A: 0.2%; PI: 0.1%)

Demographics Strengths

- Full day Pre-Kindergarten
- Class size aligned to the state average
- Professional development- What Makes Up Culture? and Ruby Payne: A Framework for Understanding Poverty and Emotional Poverty to support all stakeholders in meeting all student needs.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): GISD's high percentage of Special Education students are performing below the Domain III requirements. **Root Cause:** Lack of collaboration among general education and special education teachers to support the inclusion model

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): GISD's English Language Learners (ELLs) are performing below the 2 year growth requirement for the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment Stystem (TELPAS). **Root Cause:** Student programs and service models were not aligned across campuses or systematic.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Gonzales ISD is currently a 4A district.

- In 2019-2020, TEA announced that all districts would maintain their accountability ratings from the previous 2018-2019 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR). The ratings for GISD campuses are as follows:
- 3 elementary campuses: Gonzales Primary Academy (PK-K), East Avenue Primary Academy (1-2), and Gonzales Elementary (3-4) were rated a D.
- 2 intermediate campuses: North Avenue (5-6) and Gonzales Junior High School (7-8) were rated a C.
- the high school in GISD, Gonzales High School, was rated a C, but due to the 2018-2019 rating of an F will maintain its label of Comprehensive Targeted Support.
- The final district rating was a B.

Student Learning Strengths

- Gonzales ISD has made improvements in the area of College Career and Military Readiness (CCMR)- the following data is from the 2019-2020 TAPR and will be updated with the release of the 2020-2021 TAPR:
- Overall College Career Military Readiness 2017-2018 at 34.9% exceeded the state average of 28.7%
- Approved Industry Based Certifications for 2017-2018 at 4.1% were in alignment with the state average of 4.8%
- Graduates Completing Individual Learning Plans (IEP) and Workforce readiness for 2017-2018 at 10.5% exceeded the state average of 1.7%
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Coherent Sequences for 2017-2018 at 41.3% exceeded the state average of 38.7%
- Overall student achievement at approaches, meets, and masters has had pockets of improvement among the different content areas over the last 3 years

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Student data is not used consistently across campuses and content areas to drive effective instruction to meet all student needs and support the growth of each student. **Root Cause:** A culture of strong instructional leadership to monitor implementation of data driven practices has not been in place.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): A district-wide approach to intervention and enrichment is not in place. Root Cause: Inconsistent leadership with

high turnover has inhibited forward progress toward a systematic approach to intervention for GISD.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Special populations are not meeting the targets outlined in Domain III to Close the Gap. **Root Cause:** Systematic student support is not in place for our special populations.

District Processes & Programs

District Processes & Programs Summary

Instructional:

- Teachers receive professional Development on desinated days aligned on district calendar.
- T-TESS evaluation system is used for all teachers (except for those that submitted waivers).
- One Instructional coach at primary, elementary, intermediate, an Academic Dean at the High School.
- Instructional coaches provide a data platform covering all special populations students when looking at data
- ESL certification classes through ESC 13 are offered/ or TEA
- District-wide professional development focused on the Data Driven Instruction (Leveraging Leadership) Cycle every six weeks using a formative assessment analysis tool.
- District-wide professional development Dr. Ruby Payne on Framework of Poverty and Emotional Poverty
- Unit assessment data, benchmark scores, and informal assessments are used for instructional planning during team meetings.
- All resources are aligned to TEKS and instructionally based best practices.
- Additionally, all resources are vertically aligned across the District.

Curricular:

- The TEKS Resource System (TRS) is utilized and aligned to the TEKS, ELPS, and College and Career Readiness Standards.
- Readiness, supporting, and process standards are addressed in the TRS.
- TEKS Resource System (TRS) provides a scope and sequence, unit plans, TEKS clarification and vertical alignment documents.
- Common assessments are aligned K-12 with each TRS unit of study per six weeks.

Personnel (recruit/support/retain):

- The recruiting process for GISD includes attending job fairs (ESCs, colleges/universities, and alternative certification programs) as well as posting job listings on TASB, TASA, TCA, and the GISD website. Additionally, GISD offers signing bonuses for bilingual teachers and speech language pathologists.
- Support systems for staff include: new teacher mentor program, health and wellness program, the District pays >33% more than the State required health insurance premium, GISD teachers' children are allowed to attend the full-day PK program at no cost, every teacher is issued an iPad or laptop, ongoing professional development throughout the year, and small town community feel. Implementation of the Absence Management System.
- To retain teachers, GISD offers competitive compensation and employee benefit structure. The starting salary for teachers is above the State average. Teachers are provided ongoing training throughout the year in a variety of settings, ESC, online, and in person. Stipends are offered to high need areas (bilingual, ESL, and coaching).
- All teachers and administrators in GISD will be evaluated according to the guidelines of the T-TESS and T-PESS.

Organizational:

- Finance: Procedures have been established to examine the specialized departmental needs of campuses for programming, supplies, instruction, training, and curriculum. Additionally, at the end of the year, departments are expected to analyze the impact the purchases had on student achievement.
- Human Resources: A HR handbook has been developed that outlines the procedures related to the management of staff and school personnel.
- District Improvement Plan: Developed through the District Education Improvement Committee (DEIC).
- Central Office Collaboration: The superintendent's leadership team meets monthly with campus administrators.
- Campus Department Chairpersons: Department chairs meet regularly to discuss assessment and campus communication.

Administrative:

- T-PESS evaluation is used for all campus administrators.
- Each campus has a principal, assistant principal, and counselor

District Processes & Programs Strengths

Instructional:

- System is in place and resources are aligned
- Professional Development for best practics to support teachers and administrators
- Aligned assessments with database to provide teachers and administratiors quick feedback

Personnel:

- Professional Development tied to resources and adoptions
- Each campus has an assigned Instructional Coach or Academic Dean
- Instructional Technologist at Elementary and Secondary
- Professional Learning Communities (PLC) at each campus

Organizational:

- More systems in place than in the past. Cabinet meetings established at each campus (Campus leadership teams)
- Consistent meetings throughout campuses and district level leadership.

Problem Statements Identifying District Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Inconsistency across processes and programs resulting in a lack of communication and accountability. **Root Cause:** Systems are in place, but are not functioning or being monitored to full capacity.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Gonzales ISD implemented the What Makes Up Culture? Professinoal Development for the 2019-2020 school year. Each campus created mission, vision, and commitment statements through the collaboration of all staff members. This process included identifying student incentives and artifacts to promote positive school culture. While the 2019-2020 school year focused on creating, aligning, and revising these components, the 2020-2021 school year will focus on the implementation of these components. Gonzales ISD and its respective campuses are dedicated to meeting all stakeholders where they are.

Perceptions Strengths

- Campus mission, vision, and commitment statements
- Student incentives (APACHE Way)
- Artifacts (evidence of commitments) are present on campuses
- Campus Leadership Teams (CLTs) are established and meeting regularly

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Parents, guardians, and community members do not feel like an active participant or stakeholder within GISD. **Root Cause:** Efforts have been focused on internal, campus and district culture, but have not extended to external stakeholders.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: GISD's high percentage of Special Education students are performing below the Domain III requirements.Root Cause 1: Lack of collaboration among general education and special education teachers to support the inclusion modelProblem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: GISD's English Language Learners (ELLs) are performing below the 2 year growth requirement for the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment Stystem (TELPAS).

Root Cause 2: Student programs and service models were not aligned across campuses or systematic.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 3: Student data is not used consistently across campuses and content areas to drive effective instruction to meet all student needs and support the growth of each student.

Root Cause 3: A culture of strong instructional leadership to monitor implementation of data driven practices has not been in place. Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: A district-wide approach to intervention and enrichment is not in place.Root Cause 4: Inconsistent leadership with high turnover has inhibited forward progress toward a systematic approach to intervention for GISD.Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: Special populations are not meeting the targets outlined in Domain III to Close the Gap.Root Cause 5: Systematic student support is not in place for our special populations.Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 6: Inconsistency across processes and programs resulting in a lack of communication and accountability.Root Cause 6: Systems are in place, but are not functioning or being monitored to full capacity.Problem Statement 6 Areas: District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 7: Parents, guardians, and community members do not feel like an active participant or stakeholder within GISD.Root Cause 7: Efforts have been focused on internal, campus and district culture, but have not extended to external stakeholders.Problem Statement 7 Areas: Perceptions

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Quantifiable goals for student performance in reading and math PreK-3(HB 3)
- Current and/or prior year(s) campus and/or district improvement plans
- State and federal planning requirements
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers for Assessment, Accountability, ESSA, Missed School Days, Educator Appraisals, etc.

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Domain 1 Student Achievement
- Domain 2 Student Progress
- Domain 3 Closing the Gaps
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Targeted support Identification data
- RDA data
- Local Accountability Systems (LAS) data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information (e.g. curriculum, eligibility, format, standards, accommodations, TEA information)
- State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR Released Test Questions
- STAAR EL Progress Measure data
- STAAR ELL Progress Measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Postsecondary college, career or military-ready graduates including enlisting in U. S. armed services, earning an industry based certification, earning an associate degree, graduating with completed IEP and workforce readiness
- Postsecondary college, career or military-ready graduates including enlisting in U. S. armed services, earning an industry based certification, earning an associate's degree, graduating with completed IEP and workforce readiness
- Texas Success Initiative (TSI) data for postsecondary/college-ready graduates data

- Advanced Placement (AP) and/or International Baccalaureate (IB) assessment data
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local diagnostic math assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Prekindergarten Self-Assessment Tool
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data
- Other Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically Disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education population, including performance, discipline, attendance, and mobility
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- At-Risk population, including performance, discipline, attendance, and mobility
- ELL or LEP data, including academic achievement, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) data, including academic achievement, program growth, race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Dual-credit and/or college prep course completion data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data

Gonzales Independent School District

- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- TTESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Parent Involvement Rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Study of best practices
- Other additional data

Goals

Revised/Approved: September 14, 2020

Goal 1: Increased alignment and collaboration between general education staff and special services staff to strengthen Tier 1 instruction.

Performance Objective 1: Closing the Gap (Domain III) by meeting the federal targets set for our special populations.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Formal and informal assessments, STAAR data, universal screener (LION) (CIRCLE), walkthrough data, PLC minutes, TELPAS data, TAPR

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Reading Academies implemented in the 2020-2021 school year for all K-3 general education, special education, administrators, instructional coaches, and auxiliary instructional staff. This training will support all content areas because of the impact of early literacy on comprehension in math, science, and social studies.

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student growth i between general education and special services staff	n both reading and math and common language and approach to instruction	Formative Oct
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Reading Academy Curriculum and Instruction	Cohort Leaders, Principals, Administration Team, Instructional Coaches,	Jan
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements:		Mar
Results Driven Accountability Demographics 1, 2		Summative
	Funding Sources: None	May

	nework for Understanding Poverty and Emotional Poverty implemented across th th specific focus on students from low socioeconomic backgrounds.	e district to
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreas	e in discipline referrals and increase in student achievement in Domain I, II, and III.	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals	s, Administrative Team, Teachers, Curriculum and Instruction	Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6	Problem Statements: Student Learning 3	Jan Mar
	Funding Sources:	Summative
	None	May
leadership teams.	nt opportunities and collaborative opportunities for general education and special	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Perform		Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals	s, Administrative Team	Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5	Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 Student Learning 3	Jan Mar
	Funding Sources: Professional Development/Training Opportunities 255 - Title II, TPTR	Summative May
No Progress	$ \underbrace{\text{oss}} \text{Accomplished} \text{Continue/Modify} \text{Discontinue} $	
	Demographics	
Problem Statement 1: GISD's high percentage of Special general education and special education teachers to support	l Education students are performing below the Domain III requirements. Root Cause: Lack of collabora rt the inclusion model	tion among
	rs (ELLs) are performing below the 2 year growth requirement for the Texas English Language Proficien aervice models were not aligned across campuses or systematic.	cy Assessment
	Student Learning	
Problem Statement 3: Special populations are not meeting special populations.	ng the targets outlined in Domain III to Close the Gap. Root Cause: Systematic student support is not in	place for our

Goal 2: Establish a mindset of instructional leadership within all stakeholders at every level of leadership in GISD.

Performance Objective 1: Increase in student performance in Domain I, Domain II, and Domain III.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Formal and informal assessments, STAAR data, universal screener (LION) (CIRCLE), walkthrough data, PLC minutes, TELPAS data, TAPR

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Focused PLC centered on student learning and purposeful planningStaff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Administration Team, Instructional Coaches, Department Heads, Curriculum and		
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5	Problem Statements: Student Learning 1	Mar
	District Processes & Programs 1	Summativ
	Funding Sources: Training and Resources 255 - Title II, TPTR	May
ategy 2: Professional development based off no	eeds determined by data. (Walkthroughs, Assessments, Attendance, Disc	ipline, etc.)
	eeds determined by data. (Walkthroughs, Assessments, Attendance, Disc udent performance as linked to achievement, behavior, and attendance.	ipline, etc.) Formativ
	ident performance as linked to achievement, behavior, and attendance.	1 / /
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in stu	ident performance as linked to achievement, behavior, and attendance.	Formativ
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in stu Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assi	ident performance as linked to achievement, behavior, and attendance. stant Principals, Instructional Coaches	Formati Oct
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in stu Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assi	Ident performance as linked to achievement, behavior, and attendance. stant Principals, Instructional Coaches Problem Statements: Student Learning 1	Formati Oct Jan

Strategy 3: The Infinite Game by Simon Sinek boo	ok study for campus and district leadership.	
	l growth mindset in district and campus leaders that promotes reflective and	Formative
proactive approaches to improvement. Provide a common language for reflection.		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent		Jan
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None	Problem Statements: District Processes & Programs 1	Mar
	Funding Sources:	Summative
	None	May
Strategy 4: Collaborative Leadership book study w	vith Instructional Cabinet members.	L
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build instruction	onal leadership capacity across campuses.	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Curriculum and I	Instruction	Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None	Problem Statements:	Jan
	District Processes & Programs 1	Mar
	Funding Sources:	
	Resources for book study 255 - Title II, TPTR	Summative
		May
No Progress	Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinue	
	Student Learning	
	s campuses and content areas to drive effective instruction to meet all student needs and sup rship to monitor implementation of data driven practices has not been in place.	port the growth of
	District Processes & Programs	
Problem Statement 1: Inconsistency across processes and progra functioning or being monitored to full capacity.	ms resulting in a lack of communication and accountability. Root Cause: Systems are in pla	ace, but are not

Goal 3: Develop and implement a district-wide Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS).

Performance Objective 1: Alignment of intervention and enrichment practices across campuses will increase student performance in Domain II.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Formal and informal assessments, STAAR data, universal screener (LION) (CIRCLE), walkthrough data, PLC minutes, TELPAS data, TAPR

Strategy 1: Multi-Tiered System of Support Training f	for district an	d campus leadership.		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Restructured approa	ach to MTSS a	and update to current practices		Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Curriculum and Instru	uction, Princip	pals		Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Prol	olem Statements:		Jan
	Stud	ent Learning 2		Mar
		ding Sources:	annon an marian contan 211	Summative
	Title	8 8	ompany or region center. 211 -	May
Image: Weight of the second	omplished	Continue/Modify	X Discontinue	
	Student	: Learning		
Problem Statement 2: A district-wide approach to intervention and enprogress toward a systematic approach to intervention for GISD.	richment is not	in place. Root Cause: Inconsistent	t leadership with high turnover has inhi	oited forward

Goal 4: Build efficacy within administrators and campus staff.

Performance Objective 1: Teacher and administrator retention will increase.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: TAPR data, staff retention data, staff surveys

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An internalized	ed growth mindset in district and campus leaders that promotes reflective and	Formative
proactive approaches to improvement. Provide a common language for reflection. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent		
	Funding Sources:	Summativ
	None	May
rategy 2: Instructional support that is consistent		Wiay
rategy 2: Instructional support that is consistent Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Instructional of		
	t across campuses.	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Instructional of	t across campuses. coaches partner with teachers and administrators to build collective efficacy and	Formative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Instructional collaborative practices.	t across campuses. coaches partner with teachers and administrators to build collective efficacy and	Formative Oct
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Instructional collaborative practices. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Curriculum and	t across campuses. coaches partner with teachers and administrators to build collective efficacy and l Instruction, Principals, Superintendent Problem Statements:	Formative Oct Jan

Stategy s Expected Result inspact. Retail encentse student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches Title I Schoolwide Elements: None Problem Statements: District Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources: None Strategy 4: Distance Learning Playbook by Douglas Fisher, Nancy Fry, and John Hattie professional development. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional coach, instructional technologist efficacy in distance learning strategies. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches, Administrators, Instructional Technologists, Curriculum and Instruction Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 Problem Statements: District Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources:	Formative Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None Problem Statements: District Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources: None S Strategy 4: Distance Learning Playbook by Douglas Fisher, Nancy Fry, and John Hattie professional development. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional coach, instructional technologist efficacy in distance learning strategies. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches, Administrators, Instructional Technologists, Curriculum and Instruction Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 Problem Statements: District Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources:	Oct
District Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources: S Funding Sources: None S Strategy 4: Distance Learning Playbook by Douglas Fisher, Nancy Fry, and John Hattie professional development. S Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional coach, instructional technologist efficacy in distance learning strategies. I Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches, Administrators, Instructional Technologists, Curriculum and Instruction I Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 Problem Statements: District Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources: Funding Sources: S	
Funding Sources: None S Strategy 4: Distance Learning Playbook by Douglas Fisher, Nancy Fry, and John Hattie professional development. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional coach, instructional technologist efficacy in distance learning strategies. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches, Administrators, Instructional Technologists, Curriculum and Instruction Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 Problem Statements: District Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources:	Jan
None S Strategy 4: Distance Learning Playbook by Douglas Fisher, Nancy Fry, and John Hattie professional development. Image: Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional coach, instructional technologist efficacy in distance learning strategies. Image: Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional coach, instructional technologist, Curriculum and Instruction Image: Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional coach, instructional Technologists, Curriculum and Instruction Image: Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional Coaches, Administrators, Instructional Technologists, Curriculum and Instruction Image: Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional Coaches, Administrators, Instructional Technologists, Curriculum and Instruction Image: Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional Coaches, Administrators, Instructional Technologists, Curriculum and Instruction Image: Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional Coaches, Administrators, Instructional Technologists, Curriculum and Instruction Image: Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, Image: Strategy's Expected Result/	Mar
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional coach, instructional technologist efficacy in distance learning strategies. I Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches, Administrators, Instructional Technologists, Curriculum and Instruction I Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 Problem Statements: District Processes & Programs 1 I Funding Sources: Funding Sources: I	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher, instructional coach, instructional technologist efficacy in distance learning strategies. I Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches, Administrators, Instructional Technologists, Curriculum and Instruction I Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 Problem Statements: District Processes & Programs 1 I Funding Sources: Funding Sources: I	May
Strategy's Expected Result impact. Teacher, instructional coache, instructional rectional recti	
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 Problem Statements: District Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources: Funding Sources:	Formative
District Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources:	Oct
Funding Sources:	Jan
	Mar
Training and book resources 211 - Title 1	Summative
	May
Strategy 5: Implement Educational Professional Inventory as a part of the application process.	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Identify possible candidates and their strengths or needs to better place them in the district.	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Human Resources, Principals	Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None Problem Statements:	Jan
District Processes & Programs 1	Mar
Funding Sources:	Summative
Power School 255 - Title II, TPTR	May
$ \text{No Progress} \qquad \text{Accomplished} \qquad \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Continue/Modify} \qquad \textbf{X} \text{ Discontinue} \end{array}$	
District Processes & Programs	
Problem Statement 1: Inconsistency across processes and programs resulting in a lack of communication and accountability. Root Cause: Systems are in place, but functioning or being monitored to full capacity. Gonzales Independent School District 23 of 38 Generated by Plan4Learning.com 23 of 38	

Goal 5: Create a positive and inviting atmosphere for all stakeholders in Gonzales ISD.

Performance Objective 1: Purposeful parent and community involvement to impact student learning and behavior.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent and community surveys, student surveys, attendance (on campus events/zooms or online events)

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Family and community members valued as stakeholders in the education of GISD students.Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assistant Principals, Counselors		Formative
		Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None	Problem Statements:	Jan
	Perceptions 1	
	Funding Sources:	Summative
	None	
rategy 2: Increase opportunities for parents and co	ommunity members to volunteer on campuses.	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents and comm	munity members present during school hours	Formative
	munity members present during school hours	Formative Oct
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents and comm	munity members present during school hours nt Principals, and Counselors Problem Statements:	Formative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents and comm Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assistant	munity members present during school hours nt Principals, and Counselors	Formative Oct
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents and comm Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assistant	munity members present during school hours nt Principals, and Counselors Problem Statements:	Formative Oct Jan

nunication and collaboration between the district, parents, and community	Formative
	Oct
Director of Public Relations, Administrative Team	Jan
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1	Mar
Funding Sources:	Summativ
None	May
pour rule, moreuse in student demorement, decrease in referrurs	
ream, Principals, Counselors	Oct
eam, Principals, Counselors	
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1 Funding Sources:	Oct Jan
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1	Oct Jan Mar Summativ
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1 Funding Sources: Community Involvement Program (Title IV) 289 - LEP Summer	Oct Jan Mar
	Director of Public Relations, Administrative Team Problem Statements: Perceptions 1 Funding Sources:

on internal, campus and district culture, but have not extended to external stakeholders.

Performance Objective 2: All GISD communication will be available in both English and Spanish.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Robocalls, Facebook Posts, District Web Page, Handbooks, Parent/Guardian Communication, Mission and Vision Statements, Improvement Plans

Strategy 1: Implement support for translating doc	uments from Eng	lish to Spanish.		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All documents	available in both E	English and Spanish.		Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Public	ic Relations, Princi	pals, Assistant Principals, Adu	ministrative Team	Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None	Prot	blem Statements:		Jan
		eptions 1		Mar
		ling Sources: - Title 1		Summative
	289 -	- LEP Summer School		May
No Progress	Accomplished		X Discontinue	
	Perc	eptions		
Problem Statement 1: Parents, guardians, and community memory on internal, campus and district culture, but have not extended to		active participant or stakeholder	within GISD. Root Cause: Efforts	have been focused

State Compensatory

Budget for District Improvement Plan

Account Code	Account Title	<u>Budget</u>
6100 Payroll Costs	· · ·	
199 E xx 6112 xx xxx x xx xxx	6112 Salaries or Wages for Substitute Teachers or Other Professionals	\$75,187.00
199 E xx 6119 xx xxx x xx xxx	6119 Salaries or Wages - Teachers and Other Professional Personnel	\$1,231,469.00
199 E xx 6121 xx xxx x xx xxx	6121 Extra Duty Pay/Overtime - Support Personnel	\$2,498.00
199 E xx 6129 xx xxx x xx xxx	6129 Salaries or Wages for Support Personnel	\$72,202.00
199 E xx 6141 xx xxx x xx xxx	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$13,923.00
199 E xx 6142 xx xxx x xx xx	6142 Group Health and Life Insurance	\$25,687.00
199 E xx 6144 xx xxx x xx xx	6144 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care - On Behalf Payment	\$62,631.00
199 E xx 6145 xx xxx x xx xx	6145 Unemployment Compensation	\$634.00
199 E xx 6146 xx xxx x xx xx	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$16,228.00
	6100 Subtotal:	\$1,500,459.00
6200 Professional and Contracted Se	rvices	
199 E xx 6222 xx xxx x xx xxx	6222 Student Tuition - Public Schools	\$130,000.00
199 E xx 6299 xx xxx x xx xxx	6299 Miscellaneous Contracted Services	\$5,000.00
	6200 Subtotal:	\$135,000.00
6300 Supplies and Services		
199 E xx 6311 xx xxx x xx xxx	6311 Gasoline and Other Fuels for Vehicles	\$10,000.00
		,
199 E xx 6399 xx xxx x xx xxx	6399 General Supplies	\$55,700.00
	6300 Subtotal:	\$65,700.00

Account Code	Account Title	Budget	
6400 Other Operating Costs			
199 E xx 6411 xx xxx x xx xxx	6411 Employee Travel	\$200.00	
199 E xx 6499 xx xxx x xx xxx	6499 Miscellaneous Operating Costs	\$5,000.00	
	6400 Subtotal:	\$5,200.00	

Personnel for District Improvement Plan

Name	Position	Program	<u>FTE</u>
A.B.	Teacher		.9772
A.D.	Teacher		.1023
A.F.	Teacher		.1047
A.F.	Teacher		.1023
A.L.	Teacher		.0714
A.O.	Teacher		.0714
A.R.	Teacher		.0714
A.V.	Teacher		.2857
A.V.	Teacher		.0714
B.B.	Teacher		.1023
B.C.	Teacher		.074
B.C.	Teacher Aide		.083
B.H.	Teacher		.08
B.K.	Teacher		.0714
B.K.	Teacher		.0714
B.M.	Teacher		.1023
B.O.	Teacher		.7167
B.P.	Teacher		.1023
C.D.	Teacher		.1023
C.F.	Teacher		.1111
C.M.	Teacher		.1023
D.B.	Teacher		.0714
D.M.	Teacher		.0714
E.F.	Teacher		.0714

Name	Position	Program	FTE
E.M.	Teacher		.0714
E.M.	Teacher Aide		.15
E.T.	Teacher		.1023
F.G.	Teacher		.1023
G.A.	Teacher		.074
J.A.	Teacher		.0714
J.B.	Teacher		.08
J.B.	Teacher		.1111
J.B.	Teacher		.074
J.C.	Teacher		.0714
J.D.	Teacher		.578
J.K.	Teacher		.0714
J.L.	Teacher		.074
J.L.	Teacher		.1023
J.M.	Teacher		.074
J.N.	Teacher		.1023
J.P.	Teacher		.074
J.S.	Teacher Aide		.07
K.B.	Teacher		.1111
K.B.	Teacher		.1429
K.F.	Teacher		.08
K.H.	Teacher		.08
K.H.	Teacher		.1047
K.K.	Teacher		.1353
K.M.	Teacher		.3352
K.P.	Teacher		.074

Name	Position	Program	FTE
K.P.	Teacher		.0714
K.Q.	Teacher		.0714
K.S.	Teacher		.08
K.S.	Teacher		.1023
K.W.	Teacher		.074
L.C.	Teacher Aide		.26
L.C.	Teacher		.1111
L.C.	Teacher Aide		.07
L.D.	Teacher		.1023
L.F.	Teacher		.1111
L.O.	Teacher		.1429
L.Z.	Teacher		.1023
M.B.	Teacher Aide		.077
M.C.	Teacher		.0714
M.C.	Teacher		.074
M.D.	Teacher Aide		.083
M.F.	Teacher Aide		.4
M.G.	Bus Aide		1
M.G.	Teacher		.1047
M.H.	Teacher Aide		.15
M.J.	Teacher		.1023
M.L.	Teacher		.1023
M.M.	Teacher		.1023
M.P.	Teacher		1
M.P.	Teacher		.0714
M.Z.	Teacher Aide		.26

Name	Position	Program	<u>FTE</u>	
N.C.	Teacher Aide		.07	
N.V.	Teacher		.1111	
N.W.	Teacher		.1023	
P.A.	Teacher Aide		.07	
P.C.	Teacher		.15	
P.H.	Teacher		.1023	
P.K.	Teacher		.1125	
P.L.	Teacher		.1111	
P.S.	Teacher Aide		.083	
P.V.	Teacher		.08	
R.B.	Teacher		.1023	
R.B.	Teacher		.08	
R.G.	Teacher		.1023	
R.P.	Teacher		.1034	
R.P.	Teacher		.074	
R.V.	Teacher Aide		.083	
S.D.	Teacher		.1111	
S.D.	Teacher		.0714	
S.F.	Teacher		.1047	
S.M.	Teacher		1	
S.S.	Teacher		.0714	
S.S.	Teacher		.087	
S.T.	Teacher		.1047	
S.V.	Teacher Aide		.26	
T.B.	Teacher		.1023	
T.L.	Teacher		.08	

Name	Position	Program	<u>FTE</u>
V.H.	Teacher Aide		.15
V.W.	Teacher		.1111
W.A.	Teacher		.1023
W.C.	Teacher		.0714

District Funding Summary

			211 - Title 1		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
3	1	1	Training through research based company or region center.		\$0.00
4	1	2	Instructional Support Professional Development		\$0.00
4	1	4	Training and book resources		\$0.00
5	2	1			\$0.00
I			· · · ·	Sub-Total	\$0.00
			Budgeted F	und Source Amount	\$127,961.00
				+/- Difference	\$127,961.00
			224 - IDEA-B Formula		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
					\$0.00
			•	Sub-Total	\$0.00
			Budgeted	Fund Source Amount	\$46,240.88
				+/- Difference	\$46,240.88
			225 - IDEA-B Preschool		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
					\$0.00
			•	Sub-Total	\$0.00
			Budget	ed Fund Source Amount	\$0.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			244 - Carl D. Perkins		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
					\$0.00
	1			Sub-Total	\$0.00
onzales Ir	ndependent School D	District	27, 220		District #089

		1	244 - Carl D. Perkins		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
			Budget	ed Fund Source Amount	\$0.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			255 - Title II, TPTR		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	3	Professional Development/Training Opportunities		\$0.00
2	1	1	Training and Resources		\$0.00
2	1	2	Training and Resources		\$0.00
2	1	4	Resources for book study		\$0.00
4	1	5	Power School		\$0.00
Sub-Total					\$0.00
			Budgeted F	und Source Amount	5107,849.00
				+/- Difference	5107,849.00
			263 - Title III, LEP		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
					\$0.00
			•	Sub-Total	\$0.00
			Budgeted	Fund Source Amount	\$43,156.00
				+/- Difference	\$43,156.00
			289 - LEP Summer School		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
5	1	4	Community Involvement Program (Title IV)		\$0.00
5	2	1			\$0.00
		1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Sub-Total	\$0.00
			Budgeted	Fund Source Amount	\$42,621.00
				+/- Difference	\$42,621.00

	289 - LEP Summer School				
Goal	Goal Objective Strategy Resources Needed Account Code Amount				
Grand Total				\$0.00	

Addendums